J. R. M.P.

Dec, 1918-

## and a pseudo shock

FOR your paper to describe the increase in English school fees as a "shock" (SCM Post, December 15) is to employ a decoy to distract attention from the real and bigger "shock," namely, the parity principle recommended in the 1965 White Paper is in danger of being thrown out of danger of being thrown out of the window.

Considering that most families with children attending English schools receive allowances from either the Government or their employers, the fee increase shock is a "pseudo" one since much of the increase would be eventually absorbed by the Govern-

ment anyway.

Moreover, there is talk of 
"re-examination" of local re-examination" of local education allowances by the Government. The Government should publicise estimates of cost per student borne by the Government, for both English schools and Chiboth English schools and Chinese schools, taking into account education allowances and other forms of subsidy, so that parity is seen to be main-

May we also have straight

answers on the following:
Are Chinese schools allowed to be run by a "Chinese Schools Foundation," and will it receive the same level of subsidy?

Also, why do Chinese children start primary school a year late, at six instead of at five as in the case of Englishspeaking schools?
THINKING CHINESE

## Board of

## /Education

## reports

I WISH to correct some factual inaccuracy in your story about the Board of Education (SCM Post, December 19).

A summary of the minutes of each board meeting is pre-pared by the secretary of the board in accordance with the minutes and that summary is cleared by me personally.

Both the summary and the full minutes of the meeting

are then referred to the Governor through the Secretary for Social Services.

Neither I, nor any member of the board, have initiated a query at any board meeting as to whether "the Governor actually sees the minutes." ing as to whether "the Gover-nor actually sees the minutes of the board's meetings or if he is only presented with a summary which the Vice-Chairman of the board, the Director of Education, Mr Kenneth Topley puts to him."

ny suggestion to the con-ary is untrue. Q. W. LEE Chairman, Board of